MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT
A.10851/S.8789
August 19, 2020

BILL NUMBER: A.10851/ S.8789

SPONSORS: Assembly Member Dinowitz/Senator Kavanagh

TITLE OF BILL: AN ACT to amend Section 595-b of the Banking Law

SUMMARY OF BILL: This bill provides that any person who has been injured by reason of any violation of any such rules, regulations or policies as the superintendent of the Department of Financial Services may promulgate may bring an action in his or her own name; assert a counterclaim; or, if an action is commenced by the mortgagee or anyone acting on its behalf, bring a third party claim, against either the mortgagee and/or the mortgage servicer to enjoin any violations thereof; authorizes damages; makes related provisions.

STATEMENT REGARDING THE BILL: Long Island Housing Services, Inc. ("LIHS") strongly supports A.10851/S. 8789, which would provide much needed protection for New York homeowners with mortgages who are experiencing financial hardship caused by the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic and the associated economic dislocation by ensuring compliance with New York’s strong mortgage servicing rules which, without a private right of action to enforce them, are often violated by mortgage servicers with impunity.

LIHS is a is a private, not-for-profit 501(c)(3) corporation, and Long Island’s only private fair housing advocacy and enforcement agency serving Nassau and Suffolk counties. LIHS’s mission is the elimination of unlawful housing discrimination and promotion of decent and affordable housing through advocacy and education. Our founding objectives are to promote racial and economic integration and equal housing opportunity throughout Long Island, to reduce and eliminate unlawful housing discrimination, to encourage the development of low-income and affordable housing, and to educate and assist the public regarding housing rights and opportunities in the region. As part of our efforts to meet these objectives, LIHS provides housing counseling and legal services to homeowners facing mortgage default and foreclosure.

As New York continues to grapple with the health and economic implications of the COVID-19 pandemic, many homeowners have experienced staggering losses of income and are facing the prospect of not being able to pay their mortgages and the risk of the loss of their homes to foreclosure. Such homeowners seeking relief from their lenders must interact with the mortgage servicing companies most lenders contract with to administer residential mortgage loans. Those companies are charged with billing and collecting payments from borrowers, crediting borrowers’ accounts when payments are made, responding to borrowers’ inquiries about their accounts, and working with distressed borrowers seeking assistance when they encounter difficulty paying their mortgages. Borrowers seeking assistance from mortgage servicers might apply for forbearance under a complex maze of federal and state sponsored
programs enacted in the wake of the pandemic, or they might seek a loan modification or other relief from the lender in order to avoid loss of their homes to foreclosure. This process is known as “loss mitigation.”

In accordance with authority given to it by Section 595-b of the Banking Law, the Department of Financial Services has promulgated detailed regulations governing the business of mortgage servicing in New York State, which provide many consumer protections for New York mortgage borrowers. These detailed rules cover the gamut of mortgage servicers’ activity, including handling of escrow accounts, crediting of payments, statements of account, fees, borrower complaints and inquiries, prohibited conduct, oversight of third party providers, transfers of servicing, the loss mitigation process, and affiliated entities. These rules, codified at 3 NYCRR 419 (and colloquially known as Part 419), also impose a duty of good faith and fair dealing applicable to servicers’ interactions with mortgage borrowers. These regulations are in many ways parallel to mortgage servicing rules promulgated by the federal Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) pursuant to the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 12 U.S.C. 2601 et seq. (known as “Regulation X”).

But in contrast to the federal Regulation X, which is enforceable by a private right of action under RESPA if it is violated, the Part 419 protections are frequently and flagrantly violated by mortgage servicers or the law firms they retain to prosecute foreclosures, who can complacently rely on the absence of an enforcement mechanism for the very borrowers the rules were meant to protect. This bill would rectify this anomalous absence of an enforcement mechanism by specifying that the violation of mortgage servicing regulations promulgated by the Department of Financial Services would be enforceable by borrowers harmed by their violation just as the comparable federal regulations are enforceable.

It would also ensure compliance with the rules by specifying that such compliance is a condition precedent to commencement of an action in court, and it would make clear that lenders hiring mortgage servicing companies to service their loans would also be liable for violations, thereby incentivizing lenders to retain servicers equipped to comply with New York law. The law would protect against efforts to evade compliance by transferring servicing to a new servicing company, by specifying that violations of a prior servicer may nonetheless be asserted as a defense to actions brought to enforce mortgage loans after the transfer of servicing rights to a new servicer. It also protects against attempts to evade these protections by bringing actions for money judgments on the mortgage note, instead of seeking to foreclose on the mortgage lien on the property, as some servicers have attempted in order to avoid other consumer protections, by making the defense available in both foreclosure actions and actions on the note.

The availability of a remedy for borrowers harmed when the servicing regulations are violated would incentivize mortgage servicers to comply with the important consumer protections codified in the servicing rules and would deter servicers from flouting those rules. Just as servicers have internalized the rules implementing RESPA because they know that violations of those rules carry consequences, so too should they be required to respect New York’s analogous mortgage servicing rules. The need for enforcement of New York’s servicing is all the more important in the current climate, in which federal regulators have been abdicating their consumer protection obligations. With so many New Yorkers contending with lost income and mortgage distress during the ongoing health and economic crisis, ensuring accountability from mortgage servicers and lenders, and incentivizing them to comply with their obligations governing the loss mitigation process under New York law, is one of the most important things that government can do to ameliorate the impact of the crisis.

Please contact Trina Kokalis at trinakokalis@lifairhousing.org or 631-567-5111 ext. 325 with any questions.